BUREAU 8/01/2015

| MEMBER | Present | Exc. | MEMBEr | Present | Exc. |
|---------------------------|---------|------|-------------------------------|---------|----------|
| DIAMANTARAS Komninos (KD) | Х | | McCUTCHEON Patrick (PM) | Х | |
| FITCH Keir (KF) | Х | | PAPADOPOULOS | | Х |
| | | | Anastassios (AP) | | Proxy RL |
| LAKE Rosita (RL) | Х | | REICHOW Holger (HR) | Х | |
| MANZANO BAENA, Laura (LM) | Х | | WEIN Robert (RW) | Х | |
| MARQUARDT Stephan (SM) | Х | | Observer: POUPÉ Jocelyne (JP) | | |

Approval of the draft agenda for the meeting

Approval of the minutes of the previous bureau meeting (prepared by JP) – postponed till the evening of the same day - to be done by email

Preparation of CA meeting 20 January

Priorities for the year

- Suggestion of having a review of priorities done in a structured way on a two-year basis-

- Main problems (as pointed by the reps of the Dutch section): overpopulation and secondary reform

Pedagogy:

1. Overpopulation of the school, especially in view of the decision of not opening a 5th school

a) RW will draft a document outlining a preliminary position of the APEEE on how to relieve the overcrowding in EEB3 and put it to the CA for discussion in order to have the CA position for the Steering Committee meeting (probably held in February)

2. Secondary reform

a) Proposal to leave it to the CA February in order to have an informed discussion (15th January deadline of the first evaluation of the reform)

<u>Auditor's report</u> – still nothing final by the different sectors on how to implement the recommendations, discussion postponed.

<u>Accounts</u> – report ready in 20th January, possible circulation, discussion on the dedicated committee and report/discussion in the CA at a later stage

By sector

- No specific needs on periscolaire to be discussed on the CA meeting

- Cantine doesn't need anything concrete discussed on the CA meeting

- Communication – time of a future newsletter with evt presentation of priorities of different sectors

- On-line registration and ITGovernance

In view of technical doubts raised at presentation of point.be on 08/01/15 board decides to put on hold the investment on the evaluation of the system until answer to the questions has been found and an eventual decision on the implementation of a study on the IT system has been reached but keeping in mind the interest of not delaying the implementation of the system for the next academic year

<u>Invitation to M. Pino / Radhuber</u>: Decision to postpone it to CA February in order to combine it with the analysis on the reform of the secondary